Original Article

Urinary Interleukin-6 as biomarker for diagnosis of acute pyelonephritis in children
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Abstract

Introduction
Urinary Interleukin-6 (IL-6) a pleiotropic cytokine with pro-inflammatory and immune-regulatory functions is a key mediator of inflammation in children with Urinary tract infection (UTI).

Aim of the study
The aim of this study is to estimate the role of urinary IL-6 (UIL-6) in diagnosis of acute UTI in children and its usefulness in differentiation between upper urinary tract infection (UUTI) (pyelonephritis) and lower urinary tract infection (LUTI) (cystitis).

Patients & Methods
This study conducted on a total 56 children divided into 36 patients suffering from UTI with mean age 4.3 ± 3.1 and 20 apparently healthy children age and sex matched with the cases. All patients were subjected to routine investigation and urine IL-6 assay.

Results
Urinary IL-6 was significantly higher in patients with UTI than control group, Sensitivity was 94.4 %, specificity of 92.1%, positive predictive value was 85%, negative predictive value was 97.2% and diagnostic accuracy was 92.8% and the best cut off value >17 pg/ml. Also Urinary IL-6 was significantly higher in acute pyelonephritis (APN) in comparison to lower UTI cases and there was a positive correlation between urinary IL-6 level and fever, CRP, and leukocyte count [r = 0.623 P = 0.006, r = 0.816, P < 0.001 and r = 0.688, P < 0.002 respectively]

Conclusion
Urinary IL-6 level may considered as a reliable biochemical marker to determine the severity of renal injury in APN as well as outcome of pediatric patients
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Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) still considered one of the most important diseases in children [1] that can lead to serious renal affection as permanent renal scarring [2]. High UTIs incidence reaches about 7.8% in children of 18 years old or below with urinary symptoms [3], this increases the risk of renal scarring and makes the management of this disease a critical item [4]. E. coli is the most common cause of UTIs that is responsible for about 80% of UTIs in children [5]. Other non-E. coli infections like Staphylococcus Saprophyticus, Enterococcus, Staphaureus and viruses like Adenovirus and Enterviruses and fungi like Candida species and Aspergillus species are uncommon causes for UTI [5,6].

UTIs had many recorded serious complications: hypertension, renal scarring and renal failure. So accurate and early diagnosis is a must and to differentiate between upper and lower UTIs because of the serious complications that may result after upper UTI [7]. Antibiotics abuse like inappropriate use or overdose in children makes the clinical diagnosis of UTI inaccurate and confusing and makes UTI without clear symptoms of high ratio [8]. UTI is responsible for 5% of all febrile episodes among infants. Upper UTIs (acute pyelonephritis) may result in renal scarring which increase the risk of secondary hypertension [9,10].

Urinary IL-6 was first performed for diagnosis of acute pyelonephritis at university of Sumatera Utara education centers and Haji Adam Malik hospital Medan [11], it is found in healthy children in small quantities but its levels increases significantly in kidney diseases [12]. In acute pyelonephritis, uro-epithelial cells secrete cytokines that introduce the inflammatory defense cells to the mucosa in response to bacterial invasion like IL-6 that can be detected in urine and serum [13].

Aim of the study

The aim of this study is to estimate the role of urinary IL-6 in diagnosis of acute urinary tract infection in children and its usefulness in differentiation between upper UTI (pyelonephritis) and lower UTI (cystitis).

Patients and methods

This study was conducted at the pediatric outpatient clinic at our university Hospital, Faculty of medicine; from June 2017 to January 2019, where total 56 children aged from 6 month to 11 years divided into 36 patients suffering from urinary tract infection for 1st time with mean age 4.3 ± 3.1 and 20 apparently healthy children age and sex matched with the cases. Patients were classified into acute pyelonephritis (n =18) and lower UTI (n =18) based on history, examination and investigation; Cystitis is suggested by features such as dysuria, frequency, urgency and lower abdominal discomfort while Pyelonephritis is suggested by systemic features such as fever, malaise, vomiting and loin tenderness [6].

All cases subjected to: Complete history taking, thorough physical examination and the following laboratory investigation: urine analysis, urine culture and sensitivity, complete blood count (CBC), C-reactive protein (CRP) and urine IL-6 assay (by ELISA technique). Children who had been treated with antibacterial agents within seven days before the admission, and children with known urologic malformation, associated infection, and neurologic disorders were excluded.

Statistical analysis

All statistical calculations were done using computer programs SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Science; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA version 20 for Microsoft Windows).

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) is a statistical method used to test differences between two or more means. A Bonferroni test is a type of multiple comparison test used in statistical analysis.

Results

E. coli was the most common isolated organism in urine culture followed by Staph. Klebsiella then proteus (table 1). We found a significant higher levels of both TLC and CRP levels in UUTI group in comparison to LUTI group and control group (table 2). There was a highly significant increase in IL6 among studied group in comparison to control group, also significant difference between UUTI group & LUTI group (table 3).

At a cut off value ≥ 17.7 (ng/ml). IL6 Sensitivity for early diagnosis of UTI was 94.4% with specificity 92.1%, positive predictive value (PPV) 85%, negative predictive value (NPV) 97.2%, AUC: 0.972 and highly sensitive correlation with UTI (p value < 0.001). On the other hand figure 1, at Cut off value ≥18 CRP sensitivity for early diagnosis of UTI was 94.4 % with specificity 78.9%,(PPV) of 68 %, NPV of 96.7%, AUC: 0.944 and high positive significant correlation with urinary tract infection (p value < 0.001), at Cut off value ≥14.1 Total Leucocytic count (TLC) sensitivity for early diagnosis of UTI was 88.9 % with specificity 84.2%, (PPV) of 72.7 %, NPV of 94.1%, AUC: 0.946 and high positive significant correlation with urinary tract infection (p value < 0.001) (table 4). Our results showed positive correlation between IL6, fever, TLC and CRP (table 5).

Table 1 Urine culture results among patients group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture results</th>
<th>No (n=36)</th>
<th>% (100.0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staph</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acinetobacter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2 Comparing the studied groups regarding TLC and CRP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>TLC Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>ANOVA test</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>CRP Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>ANOVA P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UUTI</td>
<td>18 19.1 ± 5.8</td>
<td>13.3 - 24.9</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>124 ± 30</td>
<td>94 -154.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001 (HS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUTI</td>
<td>18 9 ± 4.1</td>
<td>5.1 - 13.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16.1 ± 3.8</td>
<td>13 - 19.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>20 6.9 ± 2.5</td>
<td>4.4 - 9.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.7 ± 2.3</td>
<td>0.4 - 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Comparing the studied groups regarding urinary IL-6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Urinary IL-6 (Pg/ml) Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>ANOVA test</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Bonferroni test for sig. pairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UUTI</td>
<td>18 23.1 ± 3.74</td>
<td>18.2 - 29.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>UUTI ≠ LUTI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUTI</td>
<td>18 14.8 ± 1.91</td>
<td>12 -18.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>UUTI ≠ Controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>20 8.1 ± 2.56</td>
<td>3.3 -10.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>LUTI ≠ Controls</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Validity and predictively of Urinary IL-6, TLC and CRP in prediction of patients with UUTI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Cutoff Value</th>
<th>Sens %</th>
<th>Speci %</th>
<th>PPV %</th>
<th>NPV %</th>
<th>Acc %</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>95 % CI</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UIL-6</td>
<td>≥ 17.7</td>
<td>94.4%</td>
<td>92.1%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>97.2%</td>
<td>92.8%</td>
<td>0.972</td>
<td>0.93 - 1.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001 (HS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>≥ 18</td>
<td>94.4%</td>
<td>78.9%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>96.7%</td>
<td>83.9%</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>0.89 - 1.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001 (HS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>≥ 14.1</td>
<td>88.9%</td>
<td>84.2%</td>
<td>72.7%</td>
<td>94.1%</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.89 - 1.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001 (HS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 Correlation between UIL-6 and the studied variables among UUTI group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With</th>
<th>U IL-6 ( pg/ml ) UUTI group (n = 18)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Rho 0.042 0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of fever*</td>
<td>0.623 0.006 (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>0.668 0.002 (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>0.816 &lt; 0.001 (HS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Person's correlation coefficient (r) was used

Figure 1 ROC curve presenting cut off level of uIL6 (17.7 pg/ml)
Discussion

Urine analysis and culture and sensitivity is the first step for diagnosis of UTI, also there are many UTI markers for its detection and diagnosis like leukocyte Esterase (ESR), C – reactive protein, tumor necrosis factor, total leucocyte count and interleukin 6 [9,10]. This study was conducted at the pediatric outpatient clinic at our university Hospital, Faculty of medicine, from June 2017 to January 2019, a total of 56 children aged from 6 months to 11 years divided into 36 patients suffering from urinary tract infection with mean age 4.3 ± 3.1 and 20 apparently healthy age- and sex- matched children as a control group were included in the study. All patients were subjected to laboratory investigations; urine analysis, urine culture and sensitivity, complete blood count (CBC), C-reactive protein (CRP) and urine IL-6 assay (by ELISA technique).

Our study proved that there was highly significant increase in UTI patients than controls as regard to TLC; this is in agreement with Shah G. and Upadhyay J. who stated that there is increase in leukocytosis with acute pyelonephritis [14] but our results disagree with Manucha V. et al who reported that the total leukocytic count is of little clinical benefit in the diagnosis of UTI because of the wide variation in values and the overlapping between normal and abnormal values [15].

Our study showed that there was highly significant increase in CRP in UTI patients than controls this came in agreement with Shah G. and Upadhyay J. who reported elevation in CRP but it is nonspecific marker of bacterial infection [14] also Shah G. and Upadhyay J. report that microscopic WBCs in urine increase in UTI as found in our study.

As regard urine culture; There was highly significant positive cultures in UTI patients than controls. We found that E.coli organism was the most common isolated (50%) of cases and these results matched with Rai, G. K., et al who found E. coli to be the most common cause of UTI, followed by Staphylococcus aureus, klebsiella, pseudomonas and proteus [16], But Adeleke S.I. and Asani M.O. found that Staph. aureus was the commonest organism (67.9%) of isolates, followed by Klebsiella (17.9%) then Pseudomonas (14.2%) [17]; this can be explained by the geographical difference as regard locality of the study.

Sheu, J. N., et al reported that sensitivity and specificity in differentiating acute pyelonephritis from lower UTI were 74% and 72%, respectively, for WBC and 93% and 81%, respectively [18] as shown in our study the mean level of total leucocyte count (TLC) & C-reactive protein (CRP) were significantly higher in acute pyelonephritis than lower UTI [P value < 0.001] (table 2). Also other researches had studied the level of inflammatory markers in patients with UTI. Lin D. S., et al found that CRP and ESR levels were imperfect tools in diagnosis of UTI, and the sensitivity of these laboratory parameters was relatively low, while TLC was a significantly better predictor of UTI in febrile infants [19], on the other hand Rodríguez L. M., et al showed that CRP is considered a valuable test in diagnosis of febrile UTI (APN) which showed high sensitivity 83.3% than uIL-6 which was 77.8% [20].

Gürgöze M. K., et al performed a study on 76 children with UTI that showed inflammatory markers such as CRP, ESR, TLC count, procalcitonin, uIL-6, and IL-1β in patients with acute pyelonephritis were significantly higher than lower UTI [21], and Krzemień G., et al study revealed elevated inflammatory markers in all cases with febrile UTI (elevated ESR and CRP in 100% of patients, and leukocytosis in 90%), compared to 19 children with lower UTI (elevated ESR in 30% of the patients, CRP in 5.5% of the patients, and TLC in 69.6% of the patients); where the mean values of ESR, CRP and TLC were significantly higher in pyelonephritis than lower UTI [22].

In our study, we found that urine levels of IL-6 were significantly high in all patients with pyelonephritis compared to the control group as the diagnostic sensitivity was 94.4%, specificity was 92.1% and urinary interleukin-6 level > 17 pg/ml is the best cut off value for early recognition of acute pyelonephritis. Also our study proved that urinary IL-6 levels increased significantly more in acute pyelonephritis cases than in lower UTI; this is in agreement with with Rodríguez L. M., et al who showed that a concentration > 15 pg/ml is a highly reliable marker of APN. Also we found that urinary interleukin-6 was useful in distinguishing between upper and lower UTI in children agreed with Tramma D., et al who found that IL-6 production in UTI is a useful biomarker in children [23]. Similarly Jantausch B. A., et al found that both serum and urine IL-6 are useful diagnostic tools for early recognition of acute urinary tract infection in febrile children, and also it can be used for differentiation between upper and lower UTI [24]. Also Sheu, J. N., and his associates found that urine level of IL-6 was significantly increased in children with acute pyelonephritis than in lower UTI and found also serum and urine levels of IL-6 have high sensitivity (81–88%) and specificity of (78–83%) for the diagnosis of acute pyelonephritis and make accurate differentiation from lower UTI (18). Gürgöze M. K. and his colleagues came in similar results as they found that serum IL-6 levels rise significantly in children with acute pyelonephritis, and it had sensitivity 88% and specificity 74% [21]. On the contrary a study done by Mahyar A., et al showed that sensitivity and specificity of IL-6 and IL-8 are less than acute phase reactants such as CRP and reported that these cytokines are not reliable markers for differentiating acute pyelonephritis from lower UTI [25].

In our study, among cases, there were correlations between urine IL-6 and inflammatory markers and temperature. There were highly statistically significant positive correlation between urinary IL-6 and TLC, CRP and temperature and this was proved by Sheu, J. N., and his associates who found that in children with acute pyelonephritis uIL-6 was significantly correlated with fever and CRP but not correlate with WBC (18). The positive correlation of serum and urinary IL-6 levels with systemic inflammatory markers (such as fever, CRP and TLC); is consistent with the hypothesis that the release of IL-6 from the urinary tract into the circulation leads to the induction of these systemic host responses, and large amounts of IL-6 production in tissues within and outside the urinary tract contributes to the higher serum IL-6 levels. These findings suggest that IL-6 is a key mediator of inflammation in children with acute pyelonephritis [18]. On the contrary Benson M., et al. compare serum and urine IL-6 levels and inflammatory markers in children with UTI and found a correlation of urine IL-6 levels with local inflammatory markers including proteinuria, hematuria and leukocyturia . In that study, there were no correlations of serum and urine IL-6 levels with fever or CRP [26]. In studies concerned with bacterial infections results may differ from on study to others as it may depend on geographical infection type predictions and laboratory measurements methods.
Conclusion

We concluded that, urinary IL–6 level may serve as a reliable diagnostic tool for the early identification of acute urinary tract infection in febrile children (diagnostic accuracy is 94.4%) and we confirmed the usefulness of determining urinary IL–6 as a biochemical marker is to determine the severity of renal injury in APN as well as outcome of patients. In spite of being an expensive test, yet its benefits may exceed its cost as it make the use of more aggressive diagnostic tests unnecessary.
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